

DARYL S. LANDY, State Bar No. 136288  
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
One Market, Spear Street Tower  
San Francisco, CA 94105-1126  
Tel: 415.442.1000  
Fax: 415.442.1001  
Email: dlandy@morganlewis.com

MICHAEL J. PUMA (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
CHRISTOPHER D. HAVENER (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
1701 Market Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
Tel: 215.963.5000  
Fax: 215.963.5001  
Email: mpuma@morganlewis.com  
chavener@morganlewis.com

*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**THE HERSHEY COMPANY**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

GREGORY P. BARNES, et al.,  
  
Plaintiffs,  
  
vs.  
  
THE HERSHEY COMPANY,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. 12-cv-01334-CRB

**STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING  
DEFENDANT THE HERSHEY  
COMPANY'S ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE  
LEAVE TO FILE A REPLY BRIEF IN  
SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN EXCESS OF  
FIFTEEN PAGES**

**STIPULATION**

WHEREAS Defendant The Hershey Company ("Hershey") filed its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (the "Motion"), Dkt. 150, on August 12, 2014;

WHEREAS Plaintiffs filed their Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to

the Motion and simultaneously also requested relief under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56(d),  
Dkt. 176, on September 3, 2014,

WHEREAS counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendant have conferred, and  
Plaintiffs have no objection to allowing Hershey to file a twenty-page reply in support of its  
Motion, of which no more than fifteen (15) pages may be dedicated to a reply in support of the  
merits of the Motion, including objections on evidence, if any, and no more than five (5) pages  
may be dedicated to opposing Plaintiffs' separate request for relief under Rule 56(d).

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED pursuant to Local Rules 7-11 & 7-12 by and between the  
parties hereto, through their respective attorneys of record, that Hershey may exceed the fifteen-  
page limit set by Local Rule 7-4 and file a twenty-page reply in support of the Motion, on the  
terms described above.

Pursuant to L.R. 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I, Christopher D. Havener, attest that  
concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories. I  
declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing  
is true and correct.

Dated: September 5, 2014

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

By: /s/ Christopher D. Havener  
Christopher D. Havener

Attorneys for Defendant  
THE HERSHEY COMPANY

Dated: September 5, 2014

THE BRANDI LAW FIRM

By: /s/ Brian J. Malloy  
Brian J. Malloy


Attorneys for Plaintiffs

ORDER

Pursuant to the parties' Stipulation, and for good cause showing, the Court shall permit Defendant The Hershey Company to file a twenty (20) page Reply In Support of Its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, of which no more than fifteen (15) pages may be dedicated to a reply in support of the merits of the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, including objections on evidence, if any, and five (5) pages may be dedicated to opposing Plaintiffs' separate request for relief under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56(d).

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 10, 2014

By:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
CHARLES R. BREYER  
United States District Judge  
Northern District of California